NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP

Craig M. Nicholas (CA SBN 178444)* Shaun Markley (CA SBN 291785)* Jordan Belcastro (CA SBN 339570)* 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, California 92101

Tel: (619) 325-0492 Fax: (619) 325-0496

Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org
Email: atomasevic@nicholaslaw.org
Email: jbelcastro@nicholaslaw.org

*Pro Hac Vice

Attorneys for Plaintiff, CLINT PORTEOUS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

EUGENE DIVISION

CLINT PORTEOUS, individually and on behalf of others similarly-situated,

Case No. 6:23-cv-01840-AA

Plaintiff.

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Plamun

vs.

FLOWERS FOODS, INC., a Georgia corporation; FLOWERS BAKERIES, LLC, a Georgia limited liability company; and FLOWERS BAKING CO. OF PORTLAND, LLC, an Oregon limited liability company,

Defendants.

Plaintiff hereby provides notice of supplemental authority of a new opinion from the 10th

Circuit Court of Appeals issued on November 12, 2024, Brock v. Flowers Foods, Inc. -- F.4th --,

2024 WL 4744443, at *1 (10th Cir. Nov. 12, 2024), attached to this notice, which supports

Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Individual Arbitration, Strike Class and

Collective Allegations and Stay the Proceedings. ECF No. 31. The slip opinion is attached as

Exhibit 1.

Brock held that distributors for Flowers Foods, like the named Plaintiff here, are Federal

Arbitration Act ("FAA") section 1 exempt transportation workers. See Ex. 1, 19-30. In so doing,

it found that Flowers' distributors are akin to the Amazon last-mile delivery drivers that the First

and Ninth Circuits have held meet the FAA section 1 carve out. *Id*.

While it did not reach the "contracts of employment" issue, Brock's analysis highlights

that Flowers depiction of Distributors as "fundamentally local franchise business[es]" is, on a

surface level review plausible, but ultimately belied by the "significant degree of control over

Brock's operations" and "Flower's real interest" which is ensuring delivery of its baked goods to

its "true customers" who are the large retail stores. *Id.* at 22-23.

The Court should follow *Brock's* analysis of the transportation worker issue and follow

its lead on ignoring some of the facial "business" aspects of the contract Flowers drafted and

instead see the contract for what it is – a contract of employment that secures control over a worker

to perform work.

Respectfully submitted:

Dated: November 12, 2024

NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP

By: /s/ Shaun Markley

> Craig M. Nicholas (CA SBN 178444)* Shaun Markley (CA SBN 291785)*

Jordan Belcastro (CA SBN 339570)* 225 Broadway, 19th Floor

1 – Plaintiff's Notice of Supplemental Authority

NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP 225 Broadway, 19th Fl., San Diego, California 92101

Tel: (619) 325-0492 | Fax: (619) 325-0496

San Diego, California 92101

Tel: (619) 325-0492 Fax: (619) 325-0496

Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org
Email: smarkley@nicholaslaw.org
Email: jbelcastro@nicholaslaw.org

*Pro Hac Vice

LEIMAN LAW, P.C.

Alan J. Leiman (OR SBN 980746) P.O. Box 5383 Eugene, Oregon 97405

Tel: (541) 345-2376

Email: alan@leimanlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, CLINT PORTEOUS